

Rockingham, }
 June, 1894. }

CORSON v. BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD.

CASE, for negligence. The defendants excepted to the denial of their motion for a nonsuit.

William F. Nason and *Edwin G. Eastman*, for the plaintiff.

Worcester, Gafney & Snow, for the defendants.

CARPENTER, J. No attempt has been made to sustain the exception. The evidence was sufficient to warrant a verdict for the plaintiff.

Exception overruled.

BLODGETT, J., did not sit: the others concurred.

Merrimack, }
 June, 1894. }

STATE v. MERRICK.

INDICTMENT, for the unlawful sale of liquor. An association called the Law and Order League prosecuted the defendant in the police court and before the grand jury at their own expense for the purpose of obtaining one half the fine. The attorney-general, without their consent and for reasons not stated, nolle prossed the indictment. The prosecutors moved that their taxable costs in the police court and before the grand jury be allowed them, to be paid by the county. It was held that the motion should prevail.

All concurred.

William H. Sawyer and *Burleigh & Adams*, for the prosecutors.

Nathaniel E. Martin and *Cornelius E. Clifford*, for the county.