

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

COOS, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

V.

DUSTIN DUREN

214-2024-CR-28

**DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE: REDACT PORTIONS OF DEFENDANT'S  
STATEMENT**

NOW COMES the defendant, Dustin Duren, by and through counsel, Hanna K. Kinne and Margaret A. Kettles, Esq., and respectfully requests this Honorable Court redact portions of the defendant's statement to the police, should the state introduce his statements during its case. The highlighted statements in the attached transcript are inadmissible under the State and Federal Constitutions, and/or under Rules of Evidence 401, 402, 403, 404(b), 801. In support, the following is stated:

1. The defendant is accused of Second Degree Murder.
2. The defendant gave a statement to the police prior to his arrest.
3. The statement is video and audio recorded. The state produced a transcript of the statement.
4. The state may elect to introduce the statement at trial during its case, and agrees to redact certain portions.
5. The defense argues that other portions of the statement must also be redacted, as they are inadmissible statements under the Constitution and/or Rules of Evidence.
6. Attached to this Motion is a copy of the transcript, which denotes agreed-upon redactions with statements that are lined-through. The defense also seeks to exclude the highlighted statements, to which the state objects:
  - a. Page 9, lines 17-22
  - b. Page 27, lines 4-9
  - c. Page 31, lines 2-5
  - d. Page 41, lines 9-11

- e. Page 42, lines 11-14
- f. Page 61, lines 7-11
- g. Page 63, lines 3-5
- h. Page 77, lines 7-19
- i. Page 102, lines 19-21
- j. Page 103, lines 18 to Page 104, line 3
- k. Page 104, lines 18 to Page 105, line 5
- l. Page 111, lines 3-8

7. The defense submits these highlighted portions are inadmissible as either irrelevant and/or hearsay and/or unfairly prejudicial. Further, any statements that mentions "lawyer" or legal discussions is bared by the NH and federal constitutions. See N.H. Const. Part 1, Article 15, and U.S. Const. amend. VI.

8. As the proponent of this evidence, the state bears the burden to prove its admissibility. See State v. Walters, 142 N.H. 239, 242-43 (1997).

9. This Motion is includes confidential material that must be redacted pursuant to NH Crim. Pro. R. 50(4)(C)(ii).

WHEREFORE the defendant moves this Court to exclude certain portions of his statement, and requests a hearing on the matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Hanna K. Kinne

Hanna K. Kinne, Esq.

N.H. Bar #18946

N.H. Public Defender

134 Main Street PO Box 479

Littleton, NH 03561

(603) 444-1185

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE:**

I hereby certify that a copy of this Motion has been forwarded electronically to Joshua Speicher of the Office of the Attorney General this 25th day of September, 2025.

/s/ Hanna K. Kinne

Hanna K. Kinne, Esq.

































































































































































































































