

Clerk's Notice of Decision  
Document Sent to Parties

on 08/13/2025

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE**

**MERRIMACK, SS**

**SUPERIOR COURT**

GRANTED. The Court will hear the Judicial Branch's motion separately on a date that works for all involved. The September 2 hearing will consider the other motions as scheduled. So ordered.



Honorable Martin P. Honigberg

August 13, 2025

State of New Hampshire

v.

Anna Barbara Hantz Marconi

No. 217-2024-CR-01167

**MOTION TO CONTINUE DEADLINES**

NOW COMES, the New Hampshire Judicial Branch, by and through its counsel, and respectfully requests to that this Court grant this Motion to Continue Deadlines for briefing and arguing the Branch's Motion to Clarify, and states the following as grounds:

1. On July 16, 2025, the Branch circulated a draft motion to clarify subpoenas for five judges to the prosecution and defense. On July 31, 2025, after soliciting the position of the parties, the Branch filed the motion.

2. On August 7, 2025, the parties filed a Joint Notice and Motion agreeing to continue the trial in the case. The parties also agreed to set the date for their responses to the Branch's Motion to Clarify for August 22, 2025. They agreed to set a hearing on the same motion for September 2, 2025. The parties did not consult Branch counsel before setting these deadlines.

3. The Branch does not object to a response date of August 22, 2025 for both parties regarding the Motion to Clarify.

4. The Branch does object to a hearing date of September 2, 2025. Under the current scheduled deadlines, any reply by the Judicial Branch would be due the same day as the

argument on the Motion to Clarify, September 2. The parties would have 22 days to file responses, but the Branch half that time, with only five days being business days. The Branch conferred with both parties and requested fourteen days to reply, September 5, 2025. Defense did not object to the date. Prosecution objected.

5. The Branch also requested a hearing the week of September 8, after any reply had been filed. The defense agreed, so long as the hearing on other motions would proceed the week of September 2. The prosecution objected to having two hearing argument dates.

6. Branch counsel has received a senior federal appointment that commences August 13, 2025. With the consent of the Branch, Branch counsel intends to withdraw from representation in this matter and the Branch seeks to enter an appearance of a new attorney or attorneys.

7. Ambiguity about the date of filing a reply and the date of argument on the Motion to Clarify has frustrated final selection of an appropriate attorney or attorneys to represent the Branch. The Branch understands that suitable representation is available on the dates proposed by the Branch.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the Judicial Branch respectfully requests that this Honorable Court:

- A. Grant this Motion to Continue Deadlines and set the Branch's reply to the Motion to Clarify for September 5, 2025.
- B. Further, the Court set a hearing on the Motion to Clarify the week of September 8, 2025.
- C. Grant any further relief as justice requires.

Respectfully submitted,

August 12, 2025

New Hampshire Judicial Branch

By and through its counsel

/s/ Erin Creegan

Erin Creegan, NH Bar ID #277280

General Counsel

New Hampshire Judicial Branch

1 Granite Place, Suite N400

Concord, NH 03301

(603) 415-0660

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that on this day the above motion was served via electronic filing to the parties.

/s/ Erin Creegan

Erin Creegan