

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SUPREME COURT

ORDER

LD-2007-0011, In the Matter of Christopher M. Tremblay

On December 5, 2007, the Professional Conduct Committee (PCC) filed a petition for a one-year suspension of the respondent, Attorney Christopher M. Tremblay. The court issued an order requiring that the petition be sent to the respondent by first class and certified mail and further requiring that the respondent file an answer to the petition within 30 days after service. A receipt signed by the respondent indicates that the petition was served on him on December 8, 2007. No answer to the petition has been filed.

Rule 37(16)(c) provides that if a respondent attorney fails to answer a petition filed by the Professional Conduct Committee, the allegations of the petition "shall be deemed to be admitted and no further hearing shall be required." In this case, the petition alleged violations of the following Rules of Professional Conduct:

(1) Rule 1.16(a). This rule requires a lawyer to withdraw from representation of a client if the lawyer's representation would result in violation of any law. The PCC alleged that the respondent's continued representation of a client in a Massachusetts court after his suspension from the practice of law in New Hampshire violated Massachusetts law.

(2) Rule 3.3(a)(3). This rule prohibits a lawyer from making false statements to a tribunal and from failing to disclose controlling legal authority. The PCC alleged that the respondent violated this rule by failing to advise a Massachusetts court in which he had been permitted to appear as out-of-state counsel that, after his initial appearance, he was suspended from the practice of law in New Hampshire.

(3) Rule 5.5(a). This rule prohibits the unauthorized practice of law. The PCC alleged that the respondent violated this rule when he continued to represent clients in a Massachusetts court after his suspension from the practice of law in New Hampshire.

(4) Rule 8.1(b). This rule prohibits a lawyer from failing to respond to lawful demand for information from a disciplinary authority. The PCC alleged that the respondent violated this rule by failing to respond to the Attorney Discipline Office's request for a response to a misconduct complaint and by failing to appear at the PCC hearings on the complaint.

(5) Rule 8.4(c). This rule makes it professional misconduct to engage in conduct involving dishonesty, deceit or misrepresentation. The PCC alleged that the respondent violated this rule by failing to advise a Massachusetts court in which he had been permitted to appear as out-of-state counsel that, after his initial appearance, he was suspended from the practice of law in New Hampshire.

(6) Rule 8.4(a). This rule makes it professional misconduct to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct.

In accordance with Rule 37(16)(c), the PCC's allegations are deemed admitted.

On January 28, 2008, the court ordered the respondent to file a brief addressing the issue of the proper sanction for these violations on or before February 27, 2008. The respondent failed to file a brief. Having considered the PCC's petition, the court has decided that a two-year suspension is proper in this case. A suspension of this length is necessary to "protect the public, maintain public confidence in the bar, preserve the integrity of the legal profession, and prevent similar conduct in the future." Coddington's Case, 155 N.H. 66, 68 (2007) (quotation omitted).

THEREFORE, the court orders that Christopher M. Tremblay be suspended from the practice of law in New Hampshire for a period of two years. He is ordered to notify the attorney discipline authority of any other jurisdictions in which he is licensed to practice law of the issuance of the court's order. Attorney Tremblay is hereby assessed all expenses incurred by the Professional Conduct Committee in the investigation and prosecution of this matter.

Broderick, C.J., and Dalianis, Duggan, Galway and Hicks, JJ., concurred.

DATE: March 21, 2008

ATTEST:


Eileen Fox, Clerk

Distribution:

Christopher M. Tremblay
Landya B. McCafferty, Esquire
Margaret H. Nelson, Chair
Sherril Kluesener, Supreme Court
NH Bar Association
File

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SUPREME COURT

In Case No. LD-2017-0013, In the Matter of Christopher M. Tremblay, Esquire, the court on June 4, 2019, issued the following order:

Christopher M. Tremblay's petition for reinstatement is granted on the condition that he comply with the terms of the mentoring agreement that he entered into with the Attorney Discipline Office.

Christopher M. Tremblay is reinstated to the practice of law in New Hampshire, effective immediately.

Lynn, C.J., and Hicks, Bassett, Hantz Marconi, and Donovan, JJ., concurred.

**Eileen Fox,
Clerk**

Distribution:

Russell F. Hilliard, Esquire
Brian R. Moushegian, Esquire
David M. Rothstein, Esquire
File

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SUPREME COURT

In Case No. LD-2017-0013, In the Matter of Christopher M. Tremblay, Esquire, the court on July 19, 2021, issued the following order:

The Attorney Discipline Office has certified that Attorney Christopher M. Tremblay complied with the mentoring agreement that was a condition of his reinstatement, as set forth in the court's order of June 4, 2019. Accordingly, the court deems that condition satisfied.

MacDonald, C.J., and Hicks, Bassett, Hantz Marconi, and Donovan, JJ., concurred.

**Timothy A. Gudas,
Clerk**

Distribution:
Professional Conduct Committee
Russell F. Hilliard, Esquire
Brian R. Moushegian, Esquire
File