

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SUPREME COURT

In Case No. 2014-0451, Maka Tsulukidze v. Virginia Nicholas, the court on March 16, 2015, issued the following order:

Having considered the parties' briefs and the record submitted on appeal, we conclude that oral argument is unnecessary in this case. See Sup. Ct. R. 18(1). The plaintiff, Maka Tsulukidze, appeals an order of the Circuit Court (McKenna, J.) granting judgment to the defendant, Virginia Nicholas, in the plaintiff's small claims action. On appeal, the plaintiff argues that the trial court misconstrued the nature of her claims, did not evaluate her evidence, and did not make any findings of fact on her claims that the defendant, the property manager of the plaintiff's apartment building, "misrepresented" the nature of a document that the plaintiff signed, which made the plaintiff's roommate a co-tenant, and that the defendant was negligent when she failed to protect the plaintiff from her roommate's threats. We affirm.

As the appealing party, the plaintiff has the burden of demonstrating reversible error. See Gallo v. Traina, 166 N.H. 737, 740 (2014). Based upon our review of the trial court's narrative order, the plaintiff's challenges to it, the relevant law, and the record submitted on appeal, we conclude that the plaintiff has not demonstrated reversible error. See id.

Affirmed.

Dalianis, C.J., and Hicks, Conboy, Lynn, and Bassett, JJ., concurred.

**Eileen Fox,
Clerk**